

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/691,287	<b>Applicant(s)</b> CRAGUN ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> MICHAEL J. HICKS	<b>Art Unit</b> 2165	

**All Participants:**

(1) MICHAEL J. HICKS.

(2) Johnny Lam.

**Status of Application: \_\_\_\_\_**

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 5 March 2010

**Time:** 3:00pm

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*Rejection under currently applied prior art.*

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:

*Currently applied prior art.*

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Applicant and Examiner determined an amendment to the claims which would overcome the applied prior art and place the application in condition for allowance, as previously discussed between Examiner and examiners Supervisor.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Neveen Abel-Jalil/  
 Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2165  
 /Michael J Hicks/  
 Examiner, Art Unit 2165

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)